1	important distinction. All right.
2	BY MS. SCHMELTZER:
3	Q So you were looking were you looking for
4	programming that NBC had aired that might have dealt with
5	local Baltimore issues?
6	A I was looking for programming that had aired on the
7	NBC television network and, therefore, had aired on WMAR-TV
8	since we are the NBC affiliate in the Baltimore area that was
9	responsive to issues that we had ascertained as being
10	important to the community. In order to do that I had to ask
11	NBC to pull out of their records information that they had
12	with respect to these particular issues.
13	Q So, for instance, you wanted NBC to see what
14	programming they had aired that was responsive to the
15	redistricting issue in Maryland?
16	A If they had, in fact, aired any and I wanted to see
17	if yes.
18	Q If you would look at the fourth page of this
19	exhibit, it appears to be a transmittal form from NBC dated
20	August 12, 1992 from Nancy Cole.
21	A Yes.
22	Q Do you recall having received this from Ms. Cole
23	A Yes, I do.
24	Q on August 12, 1992?
25	A Yes, I do.

1	Q	And she says, "Please note on last page it states
2	114 items	translation in the time period you requested, June 1
3	through S	eptember 30, 1991. There were 114 spots which aired
4	on NBC ne	twork news programs regarding education." Was she
5	referring	there to programs or to parts of programs? Do you
6	know?	
7	A	When they use the term program they are referring to
8	what could	d be a news story or it could be a whole program.
9	Q	Well, I guess my question is what did she mean by
10	the term	spot?
11	A	114 spots?
12	Q	Yes.
13	A	That would be stories.
14	Q	Okay. Does that mean 114 spots regarding education
15	that cove	red Maryland?
16	A	That was regarding the subject of education.
17	Q	I see. Okay. And then did she send you the two
18	pages tha	t are attached?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Was that for the purpose of showing you the kind of
21	information	on that NBC had?
22	A	Yes. Before she pulled up all of this information
23	which was	rather extensive she wanted to make sure that she
24	had the r	ight that she was providing us with the right
25	informatio	on so she sent me these two pages as an example of

1	what their archive system looked like.
2	Q Now, after you received the fax from her did you
3	write her back?
4	A I spoke to her on the telephone.
5	Q What did you tell her over the phone?
6	A We talked on the telephone if you go to the next
7	page you can see my handwritten note which was my notes to
8	myself to understand their key system. Where it says T =
9	Today Show, Sunrise, Sunday Today, N = Nightly, M = Magazine
10	and so forth.
11	Q What did you mean by M = magazine?
12	A It says underneath there Closer Look, Dateline or
13	Real Life. That was their coding system so that they would
14	know whether a spot aired in Nightly News or in the Today Show
15	or in Dateline, etc.
16	Q And what exactly did you tell Ms. Cole that you
17	wanted?
18	A After reviewing the documents and discussing what I
19	had received with counsel, I told her these were appropriate
20	and I asked her to send me a printout of all of the documents
21	she had that related to the issues that were in the original
22	memo that I sent to her.
23	Q What did you do with the documents from NBC when you
24	received them?
25	A I made a copy of them and I gave them to counsel.

1	Q Did you use them in preparing any of the attachments
2	to your testimony?
3	A Yes. They were used in preparing the I don't
4	remember the attachment number. I'm sorry.
5	Q Was that Attachment J?
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, let's look at it. Pull it out
7	and look at it to be sure.
8	WITNESS: Yes, it was Attachment J.
9	BY MS. SCHMELTZER:
10	Q Were they used in connection with anything else?
11	A As far as the exhibits are concerned?
12	Q Right.
13	A No.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: We're getting, we're going close to
15	12:00 noon and it looks like you're, you're shifting to
16	another subject matter.
17	MS. SCHMELTZER: Well, I'm still on this subject
18	matter, but we can break now and then I'll pick it up, and I
19	don't have I mean, I think we should we can finish this
20	witness today.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well, let's, let's go off
22	the record for a minute and then we'll break for lunch. We'll
23	come back at 1:15. We got about a minute to go on that clock.
24	We're off the record.
25	(Whereupon, lunch break from 12:00 p.m. until 1:15 p.m.)

1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: We're on the record. I have nothing
3	of a preliminary nature. You can go right proceed right
4	ahead, Ms. Schmeltzer.
5	MS. SCHMELTZER: Okay.
6	MS. SCHMELTZER: Ms. Barr, right before lunch we
7	were talking about the materials that were received from NBC
8	and I'd now like to have identified for the record two
9	separate exhibits. I'm going to go through them both. The
10	first is a document that runs from SH-007822. The first
11	let me just say that the first is excerpts from an NBC release
12	schedule 1990/1991 season that was turned over to us in, in
13	discovery. These are excerpts from different NBC shows such
14	as Blossom, Law and Order, Cosby Show, A Different World, etc.
15	And I'm going to I'd like to have that marked for
16	identification as Four Jacks Exhibit 20.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. The reporter will mark
18	this document as Four Jacks 20 for identification. This will
19	be entitled Blossom Release Schedule 1990/91 season and etc.
20	There's much more attached to it.
21	(The document that was referred to as
22	Four Jacks Exhibit No. 20 was marked
23	for identification.)
24	MS. SCHMELTZER: The second document is a five page
25	document on the topic it's labeled, "25 August 1992, Sort,

1	S-O-R-T, Media," and then on the top of the first page in
2	handwriting are the words, "Supreme Court." On the top of the
3	second page is handwriting that says, "Crime and Criminal
4	Justice." On the top of the third page is handwriting that
5	says, "Literacy." On the top of the fourth page is
6	handwriting that says, "Homeless," and on top of the fifth
7	page is handwriting that says, "Education." These are
8	representative copies of a group of documents that we received
9	in discovery. The actual stack for each of these categories
10	was far longer, but I'm just putting this in as representative
11	copies, and I'm asking that that be marked for identification
12	as Four Jacks Exhibit 21.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: The reporter will so mark that
14	document as Four Jacks 21 for identification.
15	(The document that was referred to as
16	Four Jacks Exhibit No. 21 was marked
17	for identification.)
18	BY MS. SCHMELTZER:
19	Q Ms. Barr, and I'd like to ask you if you have seen
20	Four Jacks Exhibit 20 before?
21	A Yes, I have.
22	Q Is that composed of information that you received
23	from NBC in 1991?
24	A This is
25	Q I'm sorry. 1992?

1	A 2 1992. I yes, this is some of what I
2	received from them.
3	Q And if you would look at Four Jacks Exhibit 21, have
4	you seen that material before?
5	A Yes, I have.
6	Q And are those representative copies of documents
7	that you received from NBC in 1992?
8	MR. HOWARD: Objection, Your Honor. How does she
9	know if they're representative? Conclusion of the witness.
10	We don't know representative of what?
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'll sustain the objection.
12	Can you lay more of a foundation for this, please?
13	BY MS. SCHMELTZER:
14	Q Does this information relate to the category of
15	documents that you asked NBC for, the Four Jacks Exhibit 21?
16	A Yes, it does.
17	Q Was this material sent to you from NBC?
18	A Yes.
19	Q The handwriting on the Four Jacks Exhibit 21, do you
20	know is that your handwriting or is that NBC's handwriting?
21	A That's it's not my handwriting.
22	Q Do you would you know whose handwriting that is?
23	A I don't know. It's not my handwriting.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you know if it's is this the
25	handwriting of anybody in, in your office?

1		WITNESS: It's not. As I recall, it is it was on
2	a like	a yellow piece of what do you call that sticky
3	paper? An	nd it was done by someone at NBC.
4		BY MS. SCHMELTZER:
5	Q	It was a yellow piece of sticky paper with the
6	handwriti	ng on the document when you received it from NBC?
7	A	Yes, a Post-It Note. That's what
8	Q	Oh, a Post-It Note. And this is a Xerox of that
9	copy?	
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And if you would look at the handwriting that is on
12	Four Jacks	Exhibit 20, do you recognize that handwriting?
13	A	Yes, I do.
14	Q	Is that your handwriting?
15	A	Yes, it is.
16		MS. SCHMELTZER: Your Honor
17		MR. HOWARD: I'd like to object to the whole line of
18	questioni	ng on Four Jacks Exhibit 20. None of the materials
19	that's in	this exhibit was used in Scripps Howard's direct
20	case test	imony or exhibits and there's no relevance to this
21	procedure	•
22		JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, let's see how she starts the
23	question.	
24		MS. SCHMELTZER: I haven't even offered the I
25	haven't of	ffered it yet. I mean, I think what this you're

1	getting to an objection to receive. I haven't offered it yet.
2	MR. HOWARD: I would ask that we formulate the
3	objection. Could you ask counsel to explain how this is
4	relevant, how this is going to lead to, to relevant testimony?
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'm going to see what she does
6	with cross-examination. We'll find out soon enough.
7	MS. SCHMELTZER: Do you want me to continue cross-
8	examining before I ask that this be received?
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. I'm not I want you to lay
10	some foundation with some voir dire questions or at least some
11	preliminary questions and let's find out where we're going.
12	MS. SCHMELTZER: Okay.
13	BY MS. SCHMELTZER:
14	Q Ms. Barr, for what purpose did you obtain the
15	release schedule for the 1990/1991 season?
16	A I was asked by counsel to get information from NBC
17	with respect to their programming and their news that they
18	aired in that four month period of 1991.
19	Q And this is the
20	A And this is the resulting information that came from
21	the NBC archives.
22	Q Okay. And when you say programming, did you ask
23	them for information concerning the programs that aired on
24	during their prime time programming?
25	A What I did was I asked for both news and non-news

1	programming and, because their archive system is set up in two
2	different places in the country, one handling all news related
3	programming, the other handling non-news or entertainment
4	programming, it came from two separate sources.
5	Q Looking at the first page of Four Jacks Exhibit 20
6	you'll see about the fourth line down, and this would be
7	Blossom, the June 10, 1991 episode. It says, "Sex Lives and
8	Teenagers, and there's some handwriting beside that which
9	says, "Youth Concerns."
10	A That's correct.
11	Q Your handwriting?
12	A That's correct.
13	Q Okay. Why did you put the category Youth Concerns
14	beside that episode?
15	MR. HOWARD: Your Honor, I object. Is this still
16	voir dire or is this
17	MS. SCHMELTZER: Yes, it is.
18	MR. HOWARD: cross-examination on the prior
19	exhibit?
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'm assuming that we're going
21	to reach a point where she's going to have to tie in the
22	questions and answers to relevancy and I'm giving her a little
23	bit of leeway here, cross on the go ahead, Ms.
24	Schmeltzer.
25	BY MS. SCHMELTZER:

1	Q Why did you put Youth Concerns beside that episode?
2	A As I was preparing these documents in request of
3	counsel I went through the programming that's listed here. I
4	cross-referenced it against if you look, for example, at
5	page 07826 which is a more detailed explanation of what each
6	program was actually about, I made a judgment call as to the
7	program's relevance with respect to our ascertained issues and
8	then I wrote the appropriate ascertained issue next to the
9	program on in the beginning pages. So, in other words, I
10	used, I used the descriptions that are at the back. There's
11	only one page of examples here
12	Q Right.
13	A but I used the descriptions at the back to
14	determine whether or not the program dealt with an issue that
15	had been ascertained to be important to our viewing area. And
16	if I believed that it was, then I wrote what that issue was
17	next to it as a way of referencing for myself.
18	Q Okay. And was that the procedure that you went
19	through with each of these episodes where you wrote education
20	or homelessness.
21	A That's correct.
22	Q You reviewed a program synopsis and then determined
23	that it meant met the local issue of education or
24	homelessness?
25	MR. HOWARD: Your Honor, that's not what she

1	testified, that it met that need.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, she's asking the witness this
3	question. This is cross-examination. The witness is not
4	bound by her representation. Let her finish the question.
5	And can you rephrase that or can you restate that question?
6	MS. SCHMELTZER: Yes, I can restate that.
7	BY MS. SCHMELTZER:
8	Q Did you review a program synopsis related to this
9	release schedule to determine whether the specific episode
10	dealt with homelessness or education?
11	A Yes, I did.
12	Q And what was the purpose of putting down the various
13	categories, homelessness, education, youth concerns, on this
14	list?
15	A It was a way for, for me to be able to identify
16	those programs that we had carried by the NBC television
17	network that had dealt with issues that we had ascertained
18	locally as being significant to the community.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, you want to make a proffer of
20	relevance here, please?
21	MS. SCHMELTZER: Yeah. Yes, Your Honor. The
22	proffer of relevance would be that this was in 1992 the
23	licensee contacted NBC to determine what programming had been
24	aired that was relevant to the local issues purportedly
25	ascertained in 1991.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: But this is as I hear her				
2	testimony, this is what we know about this. I don't want to				
3	say we know about it from her written testimony, but we do				
4	know about this as far as the state of the record is now, so				
5	what this does is this just, this just defines the procedure,				
6	that is, this is what she reviewed to make her determination				
7	as to what was responsive. And we do have that conclusion in				
8	we have that in is that in Tab E or is it even it's				
9	another				
10	MR. HOWARD: Well, Your Honor, this material was not				
11	relied upon at all in any of Scripps Howard's testimony.				
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, no. Well, I understand that, but				
13	it was relied upon by the witness. Was it relied upon you in				
14	terms of preparing or assisting in the preparation of these				
15	exhibits?				
16	WITNESS: Not in these exhibits, no, Your Honor.				
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Not in these exhibits?				
18	WITNESS: Not at all.				
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Then what was your purpose of going				
20	through this?				
21	WITNESS: Initially when I talked with counsel about				
22	what they were looking for, we discussed the broad scope of				
23	what NBC aired and we looked at both news and non-news				
24	programming. After having gone through the non-news				
25	programming, we determined that this was not necessary to and				

1	germane to the case. So while I went through it and did the			
2	work I we didn't use it.			
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Now, if this wasn't used,			
4	then what relevance is it going to have, Mrs. Schmeltzer?			
5	MS. SCHMELTZER: Well, let me turn I'd like to			
6	turn the witness' attention to			
7	MR. HOWARD: Could I ask that she answer your			
8	question before we go back to asking, asking the witness			
9	questions?			
10	MS. SCHMELTZER: I do have a question because the			
11	witness said she, she did use the news information.			
12	BY MS. SCHMELTZER:			
13	Q You used the news			
14	A I used the news information.			
15	Q Okay. Well, there is one page in here. It's SH-			
16	007905 and the date at the top says October 2, 1991. It says			
17	NBC News Special.			
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: 7905?			
19	MS. SCHMELTZER: 7905, that's correct.			
20	WITNESS: What line are you looking at?			
21	MS. SCHMELTZER: I'm looking at that whole page. It			
22	says NBC News Special.			
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: At the top it says			
24	WITNESS: Yes, I see it. Okay. I see it.			
25	BY MS. SCHMELTZER:			

1	Q Do you see that page?	
2	A Um-hum.	
3	Q And, in fact, it goes over to another page, as well?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q It appears to be the NBC News Special schedule all	
6	the way from October 21, 1990 to August 21, 1991. Is that	
7	correct?	
8	A That's correct.	
9	Q Now, did you use the information that's on this page	
10	at all in compiling any part of your testimony or attachments?	
11	A As I recall, this information is, is redundant	
12	information that's in the other document.	
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, can you tell me what the other	
14	tell us what the other	
15	WITNESS: The other document is the NBC News, the	
16	one that's exampled by the Supreme Courtship.	
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: That would be Four Jacks 21 for	
18	identification.	
19	WITNESS: Yes, No. 21. That's correct.	
20	BY MS. SCHMELTZER:	
21	Q Well, let me ask you about the June 29, 1991 episode	
22	of First Person with Maria Shriver. You don't have any	
23	listing of a local Baltimore need beside that program, do you?	
24	A No, I don't.	
25	MR. HOWARD: Your Honor, I'll object to that line of	

1	questioning as totally irrelevant if it wasn't used in this			
2	testimony.			
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: I the there doesn't there's			
4	no purpose in going forward with this, this particular exhibit			
5	because the witness has testified that what is at least			
6	insofar as 7905 is concerned, that this is redundant of what's			
7	in 21 and this is the last thing you need is, is redundant			
8	exhibits.			
9	MS. SCHMELTZER: Well, let me ask this.			
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait just a minute. She's, she's			
11	responding to it.			
12	WITNESS: I think I can clear this up. Because NBC			
13	news and NBC entertainment come out of two separate divisions,			
14	one in Los Angeles, one in New York, when I asked NBC			
15	entertainment for their listing what they sent me was a			
16	listing of all their entertainment programs which includes all			
17	of their prime time programs, whether they be logged as news			
18	or entertainment. So these two pages here reference programs			
19	that aired in the prime time arena, if you look at the time			
20	periods in the last column, and these were repeated in this			
21	other document, Document No. 21, under specific issues.			
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Where does Document 21 come from?			
23	WITNESS: Document 21 came from NBC news archives in			
24	New York.			
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: From New York?			

1	WITNESS: Document 20 is that 20?
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: It's 20 for identification.
3	WITNESS: Yeah, came from NBC entertainment archives
4	in L. A.
5	MS. SCHMELTZER: Your Honor, in light of that
6	testimony, we will not offer Four Jacks Exhibit 20 into
7	evidence.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. We'll put that aside.
9	MS. SCHMELTZER: Now
10	MR. HOWARD: I make a motion to strike all the
11	testimony having to do with
12	MS. SCHMELTZER: Well, I think the
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait, wait just a moment. I no.
14	I understand. You'll get a chance, too but no, I'm not going
15	to strike this testimony. I mean, it explains what we've been
16	doing here and that's the long and short of it. Let's go.
17	(The document that was previously
18	marked for identification as Four
19	Jacks Exhibit No. 20 was withdrawn.)
20	BY MS. SCHMELTZER:
21	Q Referring you to Four Jacks Exhibit 21, Ms. Barr
22	A Yes.
23	Q what was the purpose of requesting this
24	information?
25	A As I said earlier, I had been asked by counsel to

1	gather information with respect to NBC news programming that			
2	had aired during the relevant period that was responsive to			
3	the issues that we had determined as being relevant to the			
4	significant to the viewing area, our viewing area.			
5	Q And this information was used in to produce some			
6	of the attachments to your testimony? Is that correct?			
7	A It was used in the preparation of Attachment J.			
8	MS. SCHMELTZER: Your Honor, I would move the			
9	receipt of Four Jacks Exhibit 21 into evidence.			
10	MR. HOWARD: We would object on relevancy grounds.			
11	It's this is the underlying document. Attachment J is the			
12	summary document that includes the information that is			
13	relevant to this proceeding and I think this is just burdening			
14	of the record with additional material.			
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'll be you in just a minute.			
16	I want to see if I can get a further clarification and then			
17	you can comment, Mr. Zauner. What purposes do you without			
18	tipping your hand too, too much, what is it that you expect to			
19	elicit from this witness based on this, this there's going			
20	to be a lot of repetitive evidence here in any event?			
21	MS. SCHMELTZER: I don't think this is repetitive.			
22	It's only five pages.			
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, I understand, but what is it that			
24	you want to do with this witness and this document?			
25	MS. SCHMELTZER: Well, the point is that, the point			

is that it was NBC news in New York that was determining what was aired back in 1991 that was responsive to the local issues 2 and that determination was not made until 1992. 3 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, the programming came out of --4 if I'm following this, the programming comes out of New York 5 6 via the network and it's put on. New York is not being asked 7 in advance by anybody at Channel 2 in Baltimore, say hey, 8 would you air some Baltimore-related programming tonight for 9 us. 10 MS. SCHMELTZER: Right. 11 JUDGE SIPPEL: They just take what they get and, for 12 whatever happenstance may happen, some or portions of it or 13 segments of it will arguably be applicable to Baltimore. 14 MS. SCHMELTZER: Right. 15 JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, they've gone -- she's gone 16 through that process and made exhibits, and I want to know 17 from you why is it that you need to explore this, this 18 underlying document with her at this time? 19 MS. SCHMELTZER: Simply for the purpose of 20 establishing that it was NBC news that grouped these programs 21 into these issues. 22 MR. HOWARD: Your Honor, the witness asked --23 Scripps Howard asked them -- for them to categorize their 24 reports as their records reflect in accord with the -- exactly with the issues identified in Scripps Howard's issues programs

1	list contemporaneous with the license term. This is		
2	completely wrong-headed to suggest that there's some sort of		
3	ascertainment going on in 1992. The issues were identified,		
4	put in the public file, reported in 1991, and the whole line		
5	of inquiry is, is strange.		
6	MS. SCHMELTZER: And the responsive programming is		
7	supposed to be done in 1991. In this instance, however,		
8	Scripps Howard was searching in 1992 to find out what was		
9	responsive and it was NBC that did the grouping.		
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: But we know that. We know that.		
11	That has already been established in I don't know how many		
12	different ways and there was I can't put my hand on the		
13	exhibit, but there was something that looks very much like		
14	this that came in, I believe, with 19.		
15	MS. SCHMELTZER: Well, yes, it was the attachment,		
16	right, to 19, but it doesn't have the category up at the top.		
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: You mean where it says Supreme Court?		
18	MS. SCHMELTZER: Right. Right.		
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: And that's really, that's really what		
20	you're after?		
21	MS. SCHMELTZER: That's what I'm after and these are		
22	as I said, these are representative of the documents that		
23	we received.		
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I will she has already		
5	testified in your, in your voir dire, with my authorization		

1	your expanded voir dire, of the handwritten notations at least					
2	in terms of the principle of the handwritten notations. We					
3	haven't identified every single handwritten notation, but that					
4	we can we could easily do and, and then move on and not					
5	have to burden the record with this.					
6	MS. SCHMELTZER: Right. Exactly.					
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Zauner?					
8	MR. ZAUNER: Your Honor, the only thing that I was					
9	going to say was, once again, it seems to me that, that this					
10	exhibit is superfluous, that if it was being offered for the					
11	purpose of showing that there was an error or there was some					
12	significant difference between what was received from NBC and					
13	what eventually found its way into Scripps Howard's exhibits,					
14	then I would not object to it. But, otherwise, I don't see					
15	any real purpose to receiving this into evidence. I think it					
16	is very much like another ruling that we had on a similar					
17	underlying document. I think that's all this really is					
18	another underlying document which, to the extent that it's					
19	really relevant, is duplicate of what's already in the					
20	exhibits.					
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I yeah, I agree					
22	MS. SCHMELTZER: Your Honor?					
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: with that and I think this is					
24	pretty well established. I'm sorry.					
25	MS. SCHMELTZER: If I may? Attachment J is just a					

list of programming and all it says at the top is Education 1 2 and as you go on a few pages it has another category. 3 not clear at all what Attachment J came from, how it was 4 derived and, frankly, I think that these pages and the 5 witness' testimony explain that. They explain the foundation for Attachment J. 6 7 JUDGE SIPPEL: But she's already -- she's testified 8 to it and you can certainly ask her some clarification 9 questions on J which is in the record, but I don't think -- I 10 mean, I think Mr. Zauner has summed it right up. We're just, we're just piling on more of the same evidence and it's going 11 12 to make it more difficult particularly for those who are 13 concerned with proposed findings, and I just don't see that 14 you've made a case for receiving it. Now, I do understand the 15 theory that you're advancing and it's -- and I can -- I don't 16 have any problem with your reading into the record or I'll 17 read into the record or the witness can read into the record 18 what it was that NBC made handwritten notes on. I know you're 19 going to object to that, Mr. Howard, but I don't see where 20 that would materially prejudice you. In fact, I don't see

MR. HOWAD: The objection we have is just one, that this is taking an awful lot of time to go over material that we've covered.

where it would prejudice you at all because we've already

gotten some testimony on this.

21

22

23

24

25

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: I hear you on that but, on the other				
2	hand, I am I understand that Ms. Schmeltzer wants to				
3	establish as much as she can with respect to NBC's input into				
4	this process, whether the significance of that input in terms				
5	of it being mechanical versus something else is something that				
6	we have to leave for later on. I'm going to ask the witness				
7	direct the witness. If you will take the these				
8	individual pages on Exhibit 21 that are marked for				
9	identification?				
10	WITNESS: Um-hum.				
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Just read into the record what NBC				
12	wrote at the top of each page. The first page is what?				
13	Supreme Court?				
14	WITNESS: Yes. Page 008223 is Supreme Court. Page				
15	009641 is Crime and Criminal Justice. Page 008752 is				
16	Literacy. Page 008754 is Homeless. Page 008813 is Education				
17	and p 008346 is Health.				
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. If there's a motion to				
19	receive this into evidence, the motion is denied for the				
20	reasons that we've stated earlier and we can move on to the				
21	next item.				
22	(The document that was previously				
23	marked for identification as Four				
24	Jacks Exhibit No. 21 was rejected.)				
25	MS. SCHMELTZER: Okay. Thank you.				

	· · ·
1	BY MS. SCHMELTZER:
2	Q Now, if you'll turn to Attachment J, Ms. Barr, was
3	that prepared based on the materials that you received from
4	NBC?
5	A It was prepared in part on materials based upon NBC.
6	Q Was the network portion of it prepared with respect
7	to based on the NBC documentation?
8	A Yes, it was.
9	Q And your counsel has previously indicated that, that
10	certain of the items that are listed in Attachment J should be
11	stricken. Are you familiar with that? Let me turn your
12	attention to SH3-0793, and we were asked to strike the entry
13	for September 20, 1991.
14	MR. HOWARD: Objection, Your Honor. When the matter
15	was struck it explained the basis for it. It serves no
16	purpose to go back over the, the material again.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: If it was stricken? Yeah, why do you
18	want to ask questions on this?
19	MS. SCHMELTZER: I just want to ask why it was
20	stricken?
21	MR. HOWARD: That was explained when we made the
22	motion when we struck
23	MS. SCHMELTZER: Well, but I'm entitled to ask the
24	witness. That is her testimony. This is her
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, this is nothing this wasn't a

1	motion on	part of you to strike?
2		MS. SCHMELTZER: No, no. Scripps Howard's counsel
3	just anno	unced, I believe at the admissions session, that this
4	should be	taken out, deleted.
5		JUDGE SIPPEL: Sure. I'll permit that cross-
6	examination	on. Where are you?
7		MS. SCHMELTZER: I'm on SH3-0793.
8		JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, is that a tab that goes with
9	that?	
10		MS. SCHMELTZER: Attachment J.
11		JUDGE SIPPEL: Read me the number again.
12		MS. SCHMELTZER: SH3-0793.
13		JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. You may proceed. Ask the
14	question.	
15		MS. SCHMELTZER: Okay.
16		BY MS. SCHMELTZER:
17	Q	I'm looking at the entry for September 20, 1991, the
18	second en	try from the top.
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Can you tell me why that was deleted?
21	A	I don't recall specifically.
22	Q	If you'll look down to 9/24/91 which says,
23	"Universi	ty of Virginia officials and students discuss alcohol
24	abuse," de	you know why that was included?
25	A	Because I need to go back to the beginning page